

Gravitational SD Perturbiner

A.A.Rosly and K.G.Selivanov

ITEP, B.Chermushkinskaya 25, Moscow, 117259, Russia

ITEP-TH-56/97, IFUM-590/FT

Abstract

We present here an explicit self-dual classical solution of the type of perturbiner in gravity. This solution is a generating function for tree gravitational form-factors with all on-shell gravitons in the same helicity state.

In this letter we continue our study of *perturbiners* [1],[2] i.e., solutions of field equations (FE) which are generating functions for tree amplitudes in the theory (more precisely - for tree form-factors, that is “amplitudes” with a number of on-shell particles and one off-shell particle in the coordinate representation). Such solution can be given an intrinsic definition which is formally independent on the Feynman diagrams and which is universal in the sense that it is applicable in any field theory. In words, the definition is as follows. Take a linear combination of plane wave solutions of linearized FE (with appropriate polarization factors etc.) so that every plane wave is multiplied with a corresponding formal nilpotent variable (a rudiment of the symbol of annihilation-creation operator). The *perturbiner* is a (complex) solution of the (nonlinear) FE which is polynomial in the given set of (nilpotent) plane waves and whose first order part is the given solution of the linearized FE. This definition is actually nothing but rephrasing the usual Feynman perturbation theory for the tree form-factors¹. Nevertheless, this definition appeared to be very convenient in the cases where FE can be treated by some other powerful methods different from the perturbation theory. This is actually the case of the self-duality (SD) equations, both in gravity and in Yang-Mills (YM) theory, allowing the use of the twistor constructions [11], [12]. The way of reducing from the generic *perturbiner* to the SD one is obvious: one includes into the plane wave solutions of the linearized FE only SD plane waves, which is equivalent to describing only amplitudes with on-shell particles of a given helicity (say, of the positive one). Miraculously, the twistor construction works extremely efficiently in the case of *perturbiners*, in a sense, more efficiently than in the case of instantons. In the instanton case it gives only explicit description of the moduli space of solutions [3], while in the *perturbiner* case it gives explicit description of fields ([2] and below).

In the case of YM theory, the idea to use the SD equations to describe the so-called like-helicity amplitudes (see, e.g., the reviews [4], [5]) was first formulated in [6] and, independently, in [7]. In [6] it was basically shown that the SD equations reproduce the recursion relations for tree like-helicity gluonic form-factors (also called “currents”), obtained originally in ref.[8] from the Feynman diagrams; the corresponding solution of SD equations was then obtained in terms of the known solutions refs.[8] of the recursion relations for the “currents”. In ref.[7] an example of SD *perturbiner* was obtained in the SU(2) case by a 'tHooft ansatz upon further restriction on the on-shell particles included. A bit later an analogous solution was studied in ref.[10], where consideration was based on solving recursion relations analogous to refs. [8]. In [2] the YM SD *perturbiner* was constructed by the twistor methods which also allowed us to find its antiSD deformation, that is to add one opposite-helicity gluon and thus to obtain a generating function for the so-called maximally helicity violating Parke-Taylor amplitudes [9], [8]. Also, in [2] the SD *perturbiner* was constructed in the background of an arbitrary instanton solution.

¹the nilpotency is equivalent to considering only amplitudes with no particle having identical quantum numbers

Below we present the gravitational SD perturbiner. Again, as in the YM case, the twistor methods [11] give absolutely explicit description of the fields. Thus, we present a generating function for tree gravitational form-factors with all on-shell gravitons having the same helicity. Derivation of the results presented as well as derivation of the gravitational analog of the maximally helicity violating amplitudes (an expression for which was conjectured in [13]) will be given elsewhere [14].

It will be convenient to use the spinor notations. To construct the perturbiner, one first defines the plane wave solutions of the linearized FE. The appropriate SD solution of the linearized FE reads ($e_N^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ is a vierbein, the capital Latin letters like N, M, L will stand for sets of particles) reads

$$e_N^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e_{\emptyset}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \sum_{n \in N} \mathcal{E}_n \frac{q_n^\alpha \lambda_n^{\dot{\alpha}}}{(q^n, \mathfrak{x}^n)^2} q_\mu^n \lambda_\mu^n dx^{\mu\dot{\mu}} \quad (1)$$

In the equation (1) $e_{\emptyset}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ stands for the flat vierbein, $e_{\emptyset}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = dx^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, $\mathcal{E}_n$ is the plane wave, $\mathcal{E}_n = a_n e^{k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^n x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}}$, a_n is the nilpotent symbol, $a_n^2 = 0$, $k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^n$ is an (asymptotic) four-momentum of the n -th graviton. k^n is light-like and hence it decomposes into a product of two spinors, $k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^n = \mathfrak{x}_\alpha^n \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^n$.² As a consequence of the SD condition, the polarization tensor in Eq.(1) contains the same dotted spinor λ^n as in the four-momentum k^n . The other spinor entering the polarization factor, q_α^n , is a reference spinor needed to define the polarization tensor, the on-shell gauge freedom being $q_\alpha^n \rightarrow q_\alpha^n + \mathfrak{x}_\alpha^n$. The factor in the denominator is introduced for normalization, the brackets of the type of (p, q) here and below mean contraction of two spinors with the ϵ -tensor, $(p, q) = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha q_\beta$. n numbers gravitons in the set N .

As it is defined in the introduction (see also [1] and [2]), the perturbiner is a solution of (nonlinear) FE which is polynomial in $\mathcal{E}_n, n \in N$ and whose linear in $\mathcal{E}_n$'s part is as in Eq.(1). We shall explicitly describe the corresponding vierbein $e_N^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.

First we introduce auxiliary functions $u^{\dot{\alpha}}, \dot{\alpha} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$ which are polynomial functions of the harmonics $\mathcal{E}_n, n \in N$ and of a pair of complex numbers $p^\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} u_N^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \sum_{n \in N} \frac{(p, q_n)^2 \lambda_n^{\dot{\alpha}}}{(p, \mathfrak{x}_n)(q_n, \mathfrak{x}_n)^2} \mathcal{E}_n e^{(\lambda_n, u_{N \setminus n} |_{p=\mathfrak{x}_n})} \\ &= \sum_{n \in N} \frac{(p, q_n)^2 \lambda_n^{\dot{\alpha}}}{(p, \mathfrak{x}_n)(q_n, \mathfrak{x}_n)^2} \mathcal{E}_n e^{\sum_{m \in N \setminus n} \frac{(\mathfrak{x}_n, q_m)^2 (\lambda_n, \lambda_m)}{(\mathfrak{x}_n, \mathfrak{x}_m)(q_m, \mathfrak{x}_m)^2} \mathcal{E}_m e^{\sum_{l \in N \setminus m, n} \dots}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

($N \setminus n$ notation stands for the set N without n th particle). Then we can describe the vierbein $e_N^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$

$$\begin{aligned} e_N^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= e_{\emptyset}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \sum_{M \subset N} \frac{(\lambda_{m_2}, \lambda_{m_1}) \dots (\lambda_{m_M}, \lambda_{m_{M-1}})}{(\mathfrak{x}_{m_2}, \mathfrak{x}_{m_1}) \dots (\mathfrak{x}_{m_M}, \mathfrak{x}_{m_{M-1}})} e^{\sum_{m \in M} (\lambda_m, u_{N \setminus m} |_{p=\mathfrak{x}_m})} \\ &\quad \mathcal{E}_{m_1} \dots \mathcal{E}_{m_M} \frac{q^\alpha \lambda_{m_1}^{\dot{\alpha}}}{(q, \mathfrak{x}_{m_1})} \frac{(q_\mu \lambda_\mu^{m_M} dx^{\mu\dot{\mu}})}{(q, \mathfrak{x}_{m_M})} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

²the reality of the four momentum in Minkowski space assumes that $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_\alpha$

where $\bar{M}$ is an ordered subset of N and we somehow abused the notation because in the above formula capital M stands also for a number of particles in the set M .

Eq.(3) is the sought for gravitational SD perturbiner.

Acknowledgments

Research of A.R. was partially supported by RFFI-97-02-18046. Research of K.S. was partially supported by Milano Section of INFN and by RFFI-96-15-96578. One of the authors (K.S.) thanks L.N.Lipatov for encouraging to consider perturbiner in the case of gravity and R.Ferrari, V.Gorini and other members of the theory group of Milano Section of INFN and of Milano University and H.Leutwyler and other members of ITP at Bern University for their hospitality while this work was completed.

References

- [1] A.Rosly, K.Selivanov, preprint ITEP-TH-43-96, hep-th/9610070
- [2] A.Rosly, K.Selivanov, Phys.Lett.B 399 (1997) 135-140, hep-th/9611101
- [3] M.Atiyah et al., Phys.Lett.65A (1978) 185
- [4] M.Mangano, S.Parke, Phys.Rep. 200 (1991) 301
- [5] L.Dixon, preprint SLAC-PUB-7106-96, hep-ph/9601359
- [6] W.Bardeen, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl, 123 (1996) 1
- [7] K.Selivanov, preprint ITEP-21-96, hep-ph/9604206
- [8] F.Berends, W.Giele, Nucl.Phys. B306 (1988) 759
- [9] S.Parke, T.Taylor, Phys.Rev.Lett. 56 (1986) 2459
- [10] V.Korepin, T.Oota, J.Phys.A 29 (1996) 625, hep-th/9608064
- [11] R.Penrose, Gen.Rel.Grav.,7 (1976) 31-52, *ibid* 171-176
- [12] R.S.Ward, Phys.Lett.61A (1977) 81
- [13] F.Berends, W.Giele and H.Kuijf, Phys.Lett.B 211 (1988) 91
- [14] A.Rosly, K.Selivanov, in progress